



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,667	09/23/2003	Kohtaro Ohba	AIS-0012	9102	
	7590 01/26/2007		EXAMINER		
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING			EGAN, SCOTT T		
1233 20TH STF WASHINGTON	REET N.W., SUITE 501 N. DC 20036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2609		
Whomisoron	., 20 20000				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	NTHS	01/26/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		lication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		667,667	OHBA, KOHTARO		
Office Action Summar	Exa	miner	Art Unit		
	Scot	t Egan	2621		
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears	on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addre	ess	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIC WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim Failure to reply within the set or extended period fo Any reply received by the Office later than three mc earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IE MAILING DATE (isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In communication. um statutory period will apply reply will, by statute, cause inths after the mailing date of	OF THIS COMMUNIC on no event, however, may a rev or and will expire SIX (6) MONT the application to become AB	CATION. sply be timely filed I'HS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s This action is FINAL. Since this application is in cond closed in accordance with the p 	2b)⊠ This actio tion for allowance ex	n is non-final. cept for formal matte		nerits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to solve are subject to resolve are subject to resolve are subject to resolve are subject to be a solve	o. estriction and/or electory the Examiner. ember 2003 is/are: a objection to the drawing the correction is	tion requirement. a) accepted or b) ag(s) be held in abeyan required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a classification and all bold some * cold None 1. ★ Certified copies of the price 2. ☐ Certified copies of the price 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of th	of: ority documents have ority documents have oies of the priority do national Bureau (PC)	e been received. e been received in Ap cuments have been T Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National St	age	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/18/2004 and 3/10/20	/08)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	·	

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to the original application filed on September 23, 2003.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are currently pending in this application. Claim 1 is independent.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on March 10, 2004 and August 18, 2004 was filed. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 9 line 16 the disclosure reads "via the internal bas 34", it should be change to conform to the rest of the specification saying "via the internal bus 34". On page 11, line 6 the

disclosure reads, "the art will readily appreciated" and should be changed to "the art will readily appreciate".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawabe et al. (JP 01024205 A).

Consider **claim 1**, Kawabe et al. explicitly teach "a fiber array camera (optical imaging system fig 1) comprising:

- a plurality of optical fibers (see constitution, many optical fibers 7);
- a fiber assembly unit (optical fiber photodetecting body 6) in which the optical fibers are bundled together (see figure 1) and an image pickup surface comprising end surfaces of the optical fibers is formed (optical fibers 7 are set to be on the same axis as the lens forming a photodetecting surface);
- a light receiving lens (see figure 1, lens 1) for focusing an image of an object on the image pickup surface (the light rays pass through the lens 1 onto the photodetecting surface as seen in figure 1); and

Art Unit: 2621

a plurality of light receiving elements (photodetecting diodes 8), each of which is connected to one of the optical fibers and receives an optical signal for one pixel therefrom (see figure 1, each fiber 7 corresponds to photodetecting element 8)."

Consider **claim 2**, Kawabe et al. explicitly teach "the fiber array camera according to claim 1, wherein the image pickup surface (photodetecting surface) is part of an arc or spherical surface (arbitrarily curved surface 5) whose center is a point on an optical axis of the light receiving lens (as seen in figure 1 the center of the curved surface 5 is on the same axis 2 as the lens 1)."

8. Claims 1, 3, 4/1 and 4/3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zurl (DE 41 06 175 A1).

Consider claim 1, Zurl explicitly teaches "a fiber array camera (ultra high speed camera) comprising:

a plurality of optical fibers (glass fibers 4);

a fiber assembly unit (holder 2) in which the optical fibers are bundled together and an image pickup surface comprising end surfaces of the optical fibers is formed (an event observed is imaged by means of the lens 1 on the entrance aperture of the glass fibers, page 5, paragraph 5);

a light receiving lens (lens 1) for focusing an image of an object on the image pickup surface (an event observed is imaged by means of the lens 1 on the entrance aperture of the glass fibers, page 5, paragraph 5); and

a plurality of light receiving elements (photodiodes 17, figure 2, in each IC the partial image is first converted into analog electric signals by means of photodiodes,

Art Unit: 2621

page 5, paragraph 7), each of which is connected to one of the optical fibers and receives an optical signal for one pixel therefrom (there may be p fiber ends in a square arrangement, in this manner the conditions for imaging with p picture element are fulfilled, page 5, paragraph 5)."

Consider **claim 3**, Zurl explicitly teaches "the fiber array camera according to claim I, wherein the end surfaces are in hound's-tooth arrangement (should the goal be an image with high resolution, but relatively small image field, the fiber ends in the entrance plane are arranged in the shape of a hexagonal close package, page 3, paragraph 6)."

Consider claim 4/1 and 4/3, Zurl explicitly teaches "The fiber array camera as in claim 1 or 3, further comprising a preamplifier for converting output current of the light receiving elements into voltage, an A/D converter for converting the voltage into digital signals (the optoelectronic receivers can be designed as integrates circuits, which contain...an amplifier and an A/D converter, page 4, paragraph 1), a memory for successively storing the digital signals (the provided memory mediums are digital and are organized in such a manner that behind each receiver there is a partial storage with large capacity for one picture element, page 5, paragraph 1), and an image signal output unit in which the image signal output unit reads out the digital signals so as to form a digital image signal of the object (page 5, paragraph 2 explains how the information that is stored in the memory can be analyzed by a computer therefore it must be output to that computer, page 7, paragraph 1 also shows that the image

Art Unit: 2621

information is put onto a bus 13 which is connected to an interface 14 that can output the data, see figure 2)."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 11. Claim 4/2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawabe et al. (JP 01024205 A) in view of Zurl (DE 41 06 175 A1).

Consider **claim 4/2**, Kawabe et al. explicitly teach the fiber array camera as in claim 2.

However, Kawabe et al. do not explicitly teach the use of a preamplifier for converting the output to a voltage, an A/D converter for converting the voltage to a digital signal, a memory for storing the digital signals, and a image signal output unit four outputting a digital image signal of the object.

Art Unit: 2621

In the same field of endeavor, Zurl teaches an ultra high speed camera with fast computer coupled analysis. Zurl further teaches that the optoelectronic receivers can be designed as integrates circuits, which contain...an amplifier and an A/D converter on page 4, in paragraph 1. Zurl further teaches that the provided memory mediums are digital and are organized in such a manner that behind each receiver there is a partial storage with large capacity for one picture element on page 5, in paragraph 1. Zurl further teaches on page 5, in paragraph 2 that the information that is stored in the memory can be analyzed by a computer therefore it must be output to that computer and on page 7, in paragraph 1 also shows that the image information is put onto a bus 13 which is connected to an interface 14 that can output the data, see figure 2.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the electronic receivers including amplifier, A/D converter, memory, and output means into the optical system taught in Zurl in order to provide a fast computer-coupled analysis without long and expensive development steps (abstract of Zurl).

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Korein (US 2002/0096629) discloses a fiber optic image mapping apparatus that consists of a light focusing lens and image plane starting with one end of a bundle of optical fibers, whose other end is connected to the image sensor. Abell et

Art Unit: 2621

al. (US 4,323,925) discloses a apparatus for arraying image sensor modules, which includes an imaging lens which light passes to a collection of optical fiber bundles, which then send the light to the image sensors. Fujieda (2003/0062490) discloses an imaging device which includes a holder that contains a lens and a fiber optic bundle that is connected to the image sensors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Egan whose telephone number is (571) 270-1452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on (571) 270-1455. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2621

SE

PATRICK N. EDOUARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 9